Making Children's Mental Health a Public Policy Priority: For the One and the Many

Charlotte Waddell^{*}, Children's Health Policy Centre, Faculty of Health Sciences, Simon Fraser University Christine Schwartz, Children's Health Policy Centre, Faculty of Health Sciences, Simon Fraser University Caitlyn Andres, Children's Health Policy Centre, Faculty of Health Sciences, Simon Fraser University

*Corresponding author: Charlotte Waddell, Children's Health Policy Centre, Faculty of Health Sciences, Simon Fraser University, Room 2435, 515 West Hastings Street, Vancouver, BC, V6B 5K3 Canada. Tel.: 1 778 782 7775; Fax: 1 778 782 7777; Email: charlotte_waddell@sfu.ca

Despite its profound importance for individuals and populations, children's mental health remains underappreciated as a public policy priority, to a degree that violates children's rights. Using a working definition of policymaking as *collective ethical decision-making for the one and the many*, we elaborate by describing an individual child's story (*the one*) and reviewing the pertinent population health research evidence (*the many*). We then outline three central public health ethical challenges: (i) addressing the high prevalence and impact of childhood mental disorders; (ii) addressing the avoidable social adversities that underlie many childhood mental disorders; and (iii) addressing stark shortfalls in prevention and treatment services for children. We end with discussing opportunities for progress, including addressing the attendant children's rights issues.

Policymaking is about making and implementing collective ethical judgments. (Greenhalgh and Russell, 2006: 35–36)

The many become one, and are increased by one. (Whitehead, 1978: 21)

Introduction

Mental health, or social and emotional well-being, is central to the health of both individuals and populations and is particularly important during childhood, when the foundations for lifelong flourishing are being laid (World Health Organization [WHO], 2005; Hertzman and Boyce, 2010). For all children, from birth through adolescence, mental health is a resource for living and learning essential for thriving and meeting one's potential, and essential for enabling resilience in the face of adversity (Rutter, 2006; Waddell *et al.*, 2008). Despite its profound importance, however, children's mental health remains under-appreciated as a public policy priority, arguably to a degree that violates children's rights, given global agreements on the importance of meeting the fundamental needs of all children (United Nations [UN], 1989). Using a working definition of policymaking as *collective ethical decision-making* (Greenhalgh and Russell, 2006) for the one and the many (Whitehead, 1978), we will elaborate by describing an individual child's story (the one) and reviewing pertinent population health research evidence (the many). We will then outline three central public health ethical challenges: (i) addressing the high prevalence and impact of childhood mental disorders; (ii) addressing the avoidable social adversities that underlie many childhood mental disorders; and (iii) addressing stark shortfalls in prevention and treatment services for children. We will end with discussing opportunities for progress, including addressing the attendant children's rights issues.

Children's Mental Health for the One

Two of us (C.W. and C.S.) care for children who are involved with Canada's child welfare and youth justice

doi:10.1093/phe/phx018

© The Author 2017. Published by Oxford University Press. Available online at www.phe.oxfordjournals.org

systems, as a psychiatrist and a psychologist, respectively. The following story is a composite, typifying the stories that we routinely hear in clinical practice, and illustrating the impact that the causes and consequences of mental disorders can have for individual children.

Tyler Learns to Play Soccer

One night while playing with matches, 10-year-old Tyler set a fire outside his neighbour's home. The home was heavily damaged and the homeowner, a popular senior citizen, was badly injured. Many community members wanted Tyler to receive criminal charges. However, because legislation only allowed for children age 12 or older to be charged, Tyler was instead referred for mental health assessment to determine what should happen next. The mental health team learned that before the fire, Tyler lived with his mother and two younger sisters. Following the fire, however, he lived in a group home where he was closely watched due to 'safety concerns'. He was also barred from school for this reason.

As a first step, the mental health team talked with Tyler. After developing rapport with him, the team learned about the many challenges that he faced. Although Tyler described having no memories of his father, he did recall his mother frequently describing him as a violent man who was 'a nasty piece of work'. Tyler's mother, who had long relied on social assistance, sold marijuana out of their apartment to make extra money. Tyler also confided that she made money from 'boyfriends'. He described looking after his two younger sisters during the times that these often loud and aggressive men were in the home. As well, he spoke about caring for his younger sisters during his mother's frequent periods of heavy drinking. Tyler expressed much worry about his sisters, saying that he often got them up for school in the morning and made food for them. His biggest concern was getting home-so he could take care of them again.

Tyler also told the team that he was happier not being in school. He said he had never liked school and had always struggled academically. School was also frustrating because he never got to do the one thing he thought he might be really good at—soccer. He did not play because his mother could not afford the uniforms and could never take him to the practices. Tyler also mentioned being given pills for 'attention deficit'. After his classmates became aware of this, they bullied Tyler, calling him names like 'psycho' and 'retard'. Tyler also described the medication as not helping with the real reason why he could not focus at school—being worried about things at home. Tyler then mentioned that workers at the group home sometimes forgot to give him his pills, which was a good thing, he said, because he was feeling better—having fewer stomach aches, feeling hungrier and sleeping better. He asked if he could be taken off the medication altogether. At a later meeting, Tyler was then asked about the night of the fire. He described lighting matches because he was 'bored', not thinking about the possible consequences. He spoke about leaving for home before he realized that a fire had started. He expressed feeling 'horrible' about injuring the senior, whom he used to visit.

Assessment of school and community records showed that Tyler had had no previous fire-setting or other serious behavioural problems. Rather, his teachers characterized him as a 'sad' and 'quiet' boy who did not cause trouble. Primary healthcare records confirmed that Tyler had been receiving very high doses of stimulant medication, sufficient to cause the side effects that he had described. Psychological testing then revealed that Tyler had good learning abilities and no attention problems. Consequently, his medication was stopped. The assessment also showed that Tyler was highly focused on caring for his sisters, to a degree that made him anxious much of the time. Child protection officials were notified of the concerns for the younger children's well-being which including being left unattended and knocking on neighbours' doors asking for food. As a result, Tyler's sisters were placed in foster care and a full parenting capacity assessment was commenced for the mother.

The mental health team then made recommendations about the type of long-term foster home that could provide Tyler with the supports that he needed to thrive. A family stepped forward who was willing to make the commitment. The team supported Tyler and his foster family as he transitioned to his new home. Tyler also had regular visits with his sisters, although not with his mother, as she was unable to behave appropriately towards him. For example, she lashed out at him verbally, blaming him for 'causing problems' for the family. The team also advocated for Tyler's return to school with a plan to better meet his needs. He received extra help and soon proved very capable of focusing, increasingly so as his anxiety abated. But for Tyler, beyond the connections with his siblings, what was most meaningful was finally getting to play soccer. With encouragement from his foster parents, he rapidly improved and became a star on his school team. Importantly, Tyler was also able to express his remorse to the woman he had injured after she had recovered. Her gracious acceptance of his apology played an important role in helping him to thrive.

Tyler's case illustrates three important public mental health policy issues. First, unaddressed mental health conditions have a profound impact on individual children, as well as on the community. Second, crucial opportunities are missed when avoidable underlying social adversities are not addressed—in this case, family socio-economic disadvantage and child maltreatment. Third, addressing shortfalls in prevention and treatment services could greatly ameliorate not only the causes but also ensuing childhood mental disorders and their sequelae. We now discuss each of these public policy issues—or collective ethical challenges in ensuring children's mental health for the many.

Children's Mental Health for the Many

Addressing the High Prevalence and Impact of Childhood Mental Disorders

Perhaps the greatest challenge for collective ethical decision-making for the many involves the high prevalence and lifelong impact of childhood mental disorders. From both public health and child rights' perspectives, the goal is ensuring social and emotional well-being and healthy development for all children (UN, 1989; WHO, 2005). Yet many children experience mental disorders, making this goal difficult to achieve. These disorders cause severe symptoms that fall well beyond expected social and emotional norms and that interfere with child development and functioning at home, at school and in the community (American Psychiatric Association [APA], 2013; WHO, 2016). Most mental disorders also start in childhood-including anxiety, attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), substance use disorders, conduct disorder, depression, autism spectrum disorder, bipolar disorder, eating disorders and schizophrenia-meaning that the burden in childhood is high (APA, 2013; WHO, 2016).

Recent meta-analyses of high-quality surveys conducted in representative population samples have confirmed that the prevalence of mental disorders in young people is very high globally. In fact, at any given time, approximately 13.4 per cent of children-or 241 million worldwide-are estimated to meet diagnostic thresholds, having both symptoms and impairment (with 95 per cent confidence intervals of 11.3-15.9; applying either North American or international classification systems, e.g. APA, 2013, or WHO, 2016) (Polanczyk et al., 2015). The leading diagnostic groups included: anxiety (any disorder; 6.5 per cent; affecting an estimated 117 million children globally); disruptive behaviour disorders (any disorder; 5.7 per cent; affecting 113 million); ADHD (3.4 per cent; affecting 63 million); and depressive disorders (any disorder; 2.6 per cent; affecting 47 million) (Polanczyk *et al.*, 2015). Importantly, these estimates include only those with impairment, which is critical because impairment indicates children in need of treatment. Nevertheless, definitions of impairment varied substantially across the surveys, constituting a major source of variability in the estimates (Polanczyk *et al.*, 2015).

Notably, the childhood burden may be much higher than these rates depict because they exclude several rare yet debilitating diagnoses. These diagnoses include autism spectrum disorder, bipolar disorder, eating disorders and schizophrenia-as well as childhood substance use disorders, an increasing problem in many countries (Whiteford et al., 2013; Waddell et al., 2014). The numbers needing treatment may therefore greatly exceed 241 million worldwide. These rates also exclude children with symptoms that are below diagnostic thresholds, which can nevertheless still cause considerable distress and impairment (Polanczyk et al., 2015). The rates furthermore do not account for the added burden of experiencing two or more concurrent disorders, a situation that may affect as many as 30 per cent of children who have mental disorders (Waddell et al., 2014).

Adding substantially to the burden for individuals, most mental disorders begin early in the lifespan, then persist. Observational studies have shown that 50 per cent of mental disorders start before age 15 years and 74 per cent before age 18 years (Kim-Cohen et al., 2003). Similarly, the median age of onset has been shown to be 11 years for some of the most common disorders, namely, anxiety and behavioural disorders (Kessler et al., 2005). Most mental disorders then continue throughout adulthood-with not only concomitant ongoing distress and symptoms, but also adverse social outcomes including reduced educational and occupational opportunities (Fergusson et al., 2005; Boyle and Georgiades, 2010). Adverse outcomes even include early mortality, with as many as 10-16 potential years of life lost for individuals with mental disorders compared with the general population (Jokela et al., 2009; Lawrence et al., 2013; Walker et al., 2015; Hjorthøj et al., 2017).

Beyond the impact on individuals, childhood mental disorders also take a heavy collective toll. In particular, these disorders are associated with substantial healthcare, justice system, child welfare and special education costs, in addition to the costs of lost human potential (Cohen and Piquero, 2009). For example, it has been estimated that averting just one case of conduct disorder could save lifetime expenditures of \$3.0–5.0 million (US currency, 2017 equivalency) (Cohen and Piquero, 2009). Beyond childhood, in Canada as an example, the economic burden associated with mental disorders in aggregate and the impact on quality-of-life, healthcare use and workplace productivity are estimated to exceed \$49 billion annually (US currency, 2017 equivalency) (Lim *et al.*, 2008). Mental disorders in aggregate also account for 7.4 per cent of the global disease burden more than HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, diabetes or motorvehicle accidents—and are the leading cause of yearslived-with-disability worldwide (Whiteford *et al.*, 2013). For children in particular, the burden associated with mental disorders now exceeds that associated with physical health problems such as obesity and asthma (Polanczyk *et al.*, 2015). In fact, for children, mental disorders are now estimated to be the leading cause of disability globally (Erskine *et al.*, 2015).

The first public health ethical challenge, then, involves addressing the high prevalence and high lifelong impact of childhood mental disorders. These disorders have a profound impact on affected individuals and now supersede other illnesses in terms of the long-term costs and the impact on populations.

Addressing the Avoidable Social Adversities that Underlie Many Childhood Mental Disorders

Beyond the high prevalence and impact of childhood mental disorders, addressing social determinants is yet another challenge for collective ethical decision-making for the many. Most mental disorders have their origins in early life, 'obliging' society to 'focus on risk processes occurring during childhood' (Kim-Cohen et al., 2003: 215). It is also increasingly appreciated that 'mental health inequalities are strongly associated and embedded within the broader social and economic context' (Ngui et al., 2010: 3). Building on this understanding, considerable evidence now suggests that serious childhood adversities such as family socio-economic disadvantage and child maltreatment likely play a causal role in the development of many mental disorders including substance misuse, behavioural problems, depression and posttraumatic stress (Power et al., 2002; Dube et al., 2003; Costello et al., 2003; Gilbert et al., 2009; Costello et al., 2010; Kessler et al., 2010; Norman et al., 2012; Reiss, 2013). In parallel, evidence has also emerged highlighting the role of gene-environment interactions in the development of many common mental health problems. In particular, environmental stressors such as child maltreatment can influence gene expression, in turn leading to poor child mental health outcomes such as conduct disorder (Caspi et al.,

2002; Caspi *et al.*, 2003; Rutter *et al.*, 2006; Cicchetti *et al.*, 2011; Uher, 2014). In essence 'social environments and experiences get under the skin early in life'—thereby affecting health over the life course (Hertzman and Boyce, 2010: 330).

Strikingly, family socio-economic disadvantage and child maltreatment are both avoidable problemspointing to the crux of this second public health ethical challenge. Many proposals have been made for ameliorating social gradients, including reinvigorating redistributive social policy approaches (Daly and Cobb, 1989; Marmot et al., 2010; Wilkinson and Pickett, 2010; Hertzman and Boyce, 2010; Banting and Myles, 2013). Yet social gradients continue to worsen globally (Marmot and Allen, 2014; International Social Science Council et al., 2016). There is also considerable evidence that child maltreatment can be prevented or greatly reduced, for example, through programmes that provide intensive supports to disadvantaged parents-improving child development and mental health outcomes, while simultaneously improving parents' lives (Olds, 2008; Mikton and Butchart, 2009; MacMillan et al., 2009). Yet such programmes have yet to become widespread, and child maltreatment remains a serious public health problem in most countries (Gilbert et al., 2009; Reading et al., 2009; Moreno, 2017). As a result, many children continue to experience these avoidable causes and consequences of mental disorders (Waddell et al., 2008).

This failure to address avoidable adversities also constitutes a violation of children's rights to have their basic needs met (UN, 1989). These rights violations are even more concerning in cases of extreme adversity. Many indigenous children, for example, are coping with the harsh intergenerational effects of colonialism-including the long-term sequelae of the forced removal of children from their families and placement in residential schools, and continuing exposure to marked socio-economic marginalization as well as racism (Adelson, 2005; Reading, 2015; Truth and Reconciliation Commission of Canada, 2015; Priest et al., 2013). Extreme adversities for children living in low-income countries, meanwhile, frequently include: displacement and forced migration as a result of war; use as labourers, soldiers and prostitutes; loss of parents and families due to epidemics such as HIV/AIDS; ongoing exposure to family and community violence; and child marriage and pregnancy (Belfer, 2008; Ngui et al., 2010; Kieling et al., 2011; Fazel et al., 2012).

This second public health ethical challenge therefore involves addressing the fact that many children are exposed to serious but avoidable adversities—including the extreme adversities faced by many in low-income settings. These experiences not only contribute to the development of some of the most common mental disorders but also constitute violation of children's rights.

Addressing Stark Shortfalls in Prevention and Treatment Services for Children

The third challenge for collective ethical decision-making for the many involves stark service shortfalls. Even in high-income jurisdictions such as the UK and the USA, data suggest that as many as 70 per cent of young people with mental disorders cannot access needed specialized treatment services (Waddell et al., 2014). This situation persists despite ample evidence on effective treatments for most childhood mental disorders (Weisz et al., 2013). As a consequence of these shortfalls, in countries such as Canada, desperate families have even pursued court challenges to force policymakers to fund more services nationwide (Shepherd and Waddell, 2015). Yet, many families are not in a position to advocate, suggesting that advocacy should not solely depend on parents (Waddell et al., 2005a). Similarly, prevention programmes still remain largely unavailable, despite strong evidence of their effectiveness and cost-effectiveness (Nores et al., 2005; Waddell et al., 2007a; Lee et al., 2008; Moreno, 2017). These service shortfalls continue, furthermore, despite substantial health expenditures growing steadily yearby-year in many wealthy countries (Office for National Statistics, 2015; Martin et al., 2016; Canadian Institute for Health Information [CIHI], 2016). Yet some high-income countries are doing better than this. Australia, for example, has doubled the proportion of children with mental disorders who are able to access appropriate services-from one-third in 1998 to twothirds in 2014-with changes attributed to significant new national prevention and treatment investments (Lawrence et al., 2015). Other high-income countries should follow suit.

Globally, however, the situation is significantly worse. It has long been recognized that commitments to children's mental health must begin with the development of public policies that address mental health service provision, as well as child protection, primary healthcare, education and social welfare services (Shatkin and Belfer, 2004). Yet few low-income countries have prepared such policies and overall mental health service shortfalls remain as high as 90 per cent in these countries—with even higher shortfalls for children (Whiteford *et al.*, 2013). Because mental disorders start in childhood, and

because the populations of low-income countries are disproportionately younger, demographically, assisting these countries to address children's mental health has been deemed a global public policy priority (Kieling et al., 2011). Yet many low-income countries have yet to even designate government entities to hold responsibility for children's mental health and have yet to allocate specific funding for this issue-likely because resources are scarce and because international aid organizations also fail to prioritize children's mental health (Kieling et al., 2011). The World Health Organization has long been trying to address the mental health gaps, most recently with action plans that make use of global-burden-of-disease data to track progress towards meeting policy and programme development goals (WHO, 2015). Yet in recent global reports, children have only been minimally featured (WHO, 2015). Stark global inequalities also persist in the resources available for mental healthcare, regardless of age-with funding ranging from less than \$2 per capita in low-income countries to over \$50 in highincome countries (US currency, 2017 equivalency) (WHO, 2015). Stark resource shortfalls are therefore a crucial underlying issue in poorer countries.

Amid the missed prevention and treatment opportunities, one approach nevertheless appears to be thriving in high-income countries-the use of pharmacological treatments. Such prescriptions have increased approximately two-fold in the UK and three- to four-fold in Canada in recent decades, particularly for anti-psychotics (intended for use in treating psychotic disorders such as schizophrenia) but also for medications such as stimulants (used to treat ADHD) (Rani et al., 2008; Alessi-Severini et al., 2012; Ronsley et al., 2013; Hauck et al., 2017). This increased prescribing is occurring despite prevalence staying relatively stable for all the childhood mental disorders, including psychotic disorders, suggesting that many children are receiving these medications 'off label' and needlessly or inappropriately (Waddell et al., 2014; Polanczyk et al., 2015). In the case of anti-psychotics, notably, prescriptions are also increasing despite these medications causing serious side effects (Ilies et al., 2017). At the same time, safe and effective psychosocial interventions such as parent training and cognitive-behavioural therapy remain relatively unavailable (Weisz et al., 2013; Waddell et al., 2014).

The third public health ethical challenge therefore involves addressing the stark children's mental health service shortfalls, including the provision of inappropriate treatments. These shortfalls also constitute a further violation of children's rights to have their basic needs met (UN, 1989).

Opportunities for Progress

What are the opportunities for progress regarding these three public health ethical challenges? Furthermore, what are the opportunities for making children's mental health a public policy priority, thereby also better addressing children's rights? We believe that progress must begin with the recognition that mental health starts in childhood-for individuals and for populations. Waiting until adulthood to intervene has not sufficed and will not suffice (Heckman, 2006). The high prevalence and impact of childhood mental disorders must also be recognized as a matter of children's rights. Recognition for these two important precepts is the first step in addressing the shifts and the increases in public spending that are needed, and in beginning to reduce the enormous associated human suffering and collective costs.

Along with recognizing that mental health starts in childhood and recognizing children's rights, we believe that there needs to be greater recognition, and consequently greater action, regarding the causal risk factors that are amenable to early interventions-particularly family socio-economic disadvantage and child maltreatment. If we addressed these two issues, many cases of mental disorders could be prevented and many more children could flourish. In addition, there are societal benefits when mental disorders are prevented, as shown by estimates of reduced public expenditures of a magnitude that could be sufficient to fund many new prevention programmes (Cohen and Piquero, 2009). But beyond this, providing safe and nurturing living conditions for all children is fundamental to the collective goal that most countries in the world have committed to-meeting all children's basic needs (UN, 1989; Marmot et al., 2010).

As well, we believe that mental health itself needs to be fully recognized as crucial to health, particularly for children. Basic equity ideals are not being met when childhood physical healthcare is provided to all in need, at least in most wealthy countries, but mental healthcare is not. To attain equity, if an estimated 70 per cent of children with mental disorders are not receiving treatment currently, then treatment funding needs to triple, at a minimum, so that all children are reached (Waddell *et al.*, 2014). Wealthy countries have the resources to achieve this, as current health spending indicates (CIHI, 2016). The Australian example also shows that new public investments of this magnitude are indeed feasible (Lawrence *et al.*, 2015). Even greater resources are needed to address inequities in low-income countries, which wealthy countries could also assist with (Whiteford *et al.*, 2013). Coupled with this, prevention programmes need to be funded such that they are available to all children at risk. Ensuring intervention effectiveness is also fundamental. It is unacceptable, for example, that children are receiving inappropriate treatments while so many effective (prevention and treatment) interventions remain unavailable.

To make progress, who should do what? Children's mental health needs strong advocacy. But children cannot and should not be the ones to do this. Families have acted successfully as advocates in some cases, but often at great personal cost (Shepherd and Waddell, 2015). So families also should not have to assume this burden. Instead researchers, policymakers, practitioners and advocacy groups are the ones to step in (Waddell et al., 2005a, 2007b). Many researchers have long recognized the need for early childhood interventions (Heckman, 2006; Belfer, 2008; Hertzman and Boyce, 2010; Marmot et al., 2010). Policymakers, practitioners and advocacy groups can also take the lead so that more countries can begin to re-proportion public spending and policy priorities to better support children-and so that wealthier countries can share resources with those who have less. Considering their critical role as purveyors of ideas in democracies, journalists working in reputable news media organizations can also contribute to raising awareness of children's issues, as many already do (Waddell et al., 2005b).

There is a collective duty of care that all citizens share, for all children (UN, 1989). For children's mental health, high disorder prevalence coupled with inattention to avoidable causal adversities and inadequate prevention and treatment services equates to a failure to meet this duty of care. We have outlined three central public health ethical challenges. Can progress be made? There is reason for hopefulness. Previous children's mental health examples suggest that when researchers, policymakers, practitioners, advocacy groups and journalists do join forces, the public impact can be powerful (Waddell et al., 2005a, b, 2007b; Shepherd and Waddell 2015). Yet in the end, to fully address these challenges, public mental health ethics needs to be framed as starting with children's mental health. Mental health also needs to be framed as a basic need, integral to all children's rights and flourishing-for the one and for the many.

Acknowledgements

We thank Cody Shepherd, Jen Barican and Donna Yung for their contributions. We are grateful to the reviewers whose comments greatly strengthened the manuscript. Charlotte Waddell also thanks George Hermanson for introducing her to process thought.

Funding

This work was supported by the Canada Research Chairs Program [grant number 950-228413, dated March 1, 2013]; the British Columbia Ministry of Children and Family Development [grant number SL00444S01, dated April 11, 2011, modified December 30, 2015]; and by the Djavad Mowafaghian and R. and J. Stern Family Foundations.

References

- Adelson, N. (2005). The Embodiment of Inequity: Health Disparities in Aboriginal Canada. *Canadian Journal of Public Health*, 96(Suppl 2), S45–S61.
- Alessi-Severini, S., Biscontri, R., Collins, D., Sareen, J., and Enns, M. (2012). Ten Years of Antipsychotic Prescribing to Children: A Canadian Population-Based Study. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 57, 52–58.
- American Psychiatric Association (2013). *Diagnostic* and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th edn. Washington: American Psychiatric Publishing.
- Banting, K., and Myles, J. (2013). *Inequality and the Fading of Redistributive Politics*. Vancouver: University of British Columbia Press.
- Belfer, M. (2008). Child and Adolescent Mental Disorders: The Magnitude of the Problem across the Globe. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, **49**, 226–236.
- Boyle, M., and Georgiades, K. (2010). Perspectives on Child Psychiatric Disorder in Canada. In Cairney J. and Streiner D. (eds), *Mental Disorder in Canada: An Epidemiological Perspective*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press, pp. 205–226.
- Canadian Institute for Health Information (2016). National Health Expenditure Trends, 1975 to 2016. Ottawa: Canadian Institute for Health Information.
- Caspi, A., McClay, J., Moffitt, T., Mill, J., Martin, J., Craig, I., Taylor, A., and Poulton, R. (2002). Role of Genotype in the Cycle of Violence in Maltreated Children. *Science*, **297**, 851–854.
- Caspi, A., Sugden, K., Moffitt, T., Taylor, A., Craig, I., Harrington, H., McClay, J., Mill, J., Martin, J., Braithwaite, A., and Poulton, R. (2003). Influence of Life Stress on Depression: Moderation by a

Polymorphism in the 5-HTT Gene. Science, 301, 386–389.

- Cicchetti, D., Rogosch, F., and Oshri, A. (2011). Interactive Effects of Corticotropin Releasing Hormone Receptor 1, Serotonin Transporter Linked Polymorphic Region, and Child Maltreatment on Diurnal Cortisol Regulation and Internalizing Symptomatology. *Development and Psychopathology*, 23, 1125–1138.
- Cohen, M., and Piquero, A. (2009). New Evidence on the Monetary Value of Saving a High Risk Youth. *Journal of Quantitative Criminology*, **25**, 25–49.
- Costello, E., Compton, S., Keeler, G., and Angold, A. (2003). Relationships Between Poverty and Psychopathology: A Natural Experiment. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, **290**, 2023–2029.
- Costello, E., Erkanli, A., Copeland, W., and Angold, A. (2010). Association of Family Income Supplements in Adolescence with Development of Psychiatric and Substance Use Disorders in Adulthood Among an American Indian Population. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, **303**, 1954–1960.
- Daly, H., and Cobb, J. (1989). For the Common Good. Boston: Beacon Press.
- Dube, S., Felitti, V., Dong, M., Chapman, D., Giles, W., and Anda, R. (2003). Childhood Abuse, Neglect, and Household Dysfunction and the Risk of Illicit Drug Use: The Adverse Childhood Experiences Study. *Pediatrics*, **111**, 564–572.
- Erskine, H., Moffitt, T., Copeland, W., Costello, E., Ferrari, A., Patton, G., Degenhardt, L., Vos, T., Whiteford, H., and Scott, J. (2015). A Heavy Burden on Young Minds: The Global Burden of Mental and Substance Use Disorders in Children and Youth. *Psychological Medicine*, **45**, 1551–1563.
- Fazel, M., Reed, R., Panter-Brick, C., and Stein, A. (2012). Mental Health of Displaced and Refugee Children Resettled in High-Income Countries: Risk and Protective Factors. *Lancet*, **379**, 266–282.
- Fergusson, D., Horwood, L., and Ridder, E. (2005). Show Me the Child at Seven: The Consequences of Conduct Problems in Childhood for Psychosocial Functioning in Adulthood. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, **46**, 837–849.
- Gilbert, R., Widom, C., Browne, K., Fergusson, D., Webb, E., and Janson, S. (2009). Burden and Consequences of Child Maltreatment in High-Income Countries. *Lancet*, **373**, 68–81.
- Greenhalgh, T., and Russell, J. (2006). Reframing Evidence Synthesis as Rhetorical Action in the Policy Making Drama. *Healthcare Policy*, **1**, 34–42.

- Hauck, T., Lau, C., Wing, L., Kurdyak, P., and Tu, K. (2017). ADHD Treatment in Primary Care: Demographic Factors, Medication Trends, and Treatment Predictors. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, **62**, 393–402.
- Heckman, J. (2006). Skill Formation and the Economics of Investing in Disadvantaged Children. *Science*, **312**, 1900–1902.
- Hertzman, C., and Boyce, T. (2010). How Experience Gets Under the Skin to Create Gradients in Developmental Health. *Annual Review of Public Health*, **31**, 329–347.
- Hjorthøj, C., Stürup, A. E., McGrath, J. J., and Nordentoft, M. (2017). Years of Potential Life Lost and Life Expectancy in Schizophrenia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry*, **4**, 295–301.
- Ilies, D., Huet, A., Lacourse, E., Roy, G., Stip, E., and Ben Amor, L. (2017). Long-Term Metabolic Effects in French-Canadian Children and Adolescents Treated with Second-Generation Antipsychotics in Monotherapy or Polytherapy: A 24-Month Descriptive Retrospective Study. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, doi: 10.1177/070674371771816.
- International Social Science Council, Institute of Development Studies and United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (2016). World Social Science Report 2016, Challenging Inequalities: Pathways to a Just World. Paris: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization Publishing.
- Jokela, M., Ferrie, J., and Kivimäki, M. (2009). Childhood Problem Behaviors and Death by Midlife: The British National Child Development Study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, **48**, 19–24.
- Kessler, R. C., Berglund, P., Demler, O., Jin, R., Merikangas, K. R., and Walters, E. E. (2005).
 Lifetime Prevalence and Age-of-Onset Distributions of *DSM-IV* Disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, **62**, 593–602.
- Kessler, R. C., McLaughlin, K. A., Green, J. G., Gruber, M. J., Sampson, N. A., Zaslavsky, A. M., Aguilar-Gaxiola, S., Alhamzawi, A. O., Alonso, J., Angermeyer, M., Benjet, C., Bromet, E., Chatterji, S., de Girolamo, G., Demyttenaere, K., Fayyad, J., Florescu, S., Gal, G., Gureje, O., Haro, J. M., Hu, C- y., Karam, E. G., Kawakami, N., Lee, S., Lepine, J.- P., Ormel, J., Posada-Villa, J., Sagar, R., Tsang, A., Ustun, T. B., Vassilev, S., Viana, M. C., and Williams, D. R. (2010). Childhood Adversities and Adult

Psychopathology in the WHO World Mental Health Surveys. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **197**, 378–385.

- Kieling, C., Baker-Henningham, H., Belfer, M., Conti, G., Ertem, I., Omigbodun, O., Rohde, L. A., Srinath, S., Ulkuer, N., and Rahman, A. (2011). Child and Adolescent Mental Health Worldwide: Evidence for Action. *Lancet*, **378**, 1515–1525.
- Kim-Cohen, J., Caspi, A., Moffitt, T., Harrington, H., Milne, B., and Poulton, R. (2003). Prior Juvenile Diagnoses in Adults with Mental Disorder: Developmental Follow-Back of a Prospective-Longitudinal Cohort. Archives of General Psychiatry, 60, 709–717.
- Lawrence, D., Hancock, K., and Kisely, S. (2013). The Gap in Life Expectancy from Preventable Physical Illness in Psychiatric Patients in Western Australia: Retrospective Analysis of Population Based Registers. *British Medical Journal*, **346**, 1–14.
- Lawrence, D., Johnson, S., Hafekost, J., Boterhoven De Haan, K., Sawyer, M., Ainley, J., and Zubrick, S. (2015). The Mental Health of Children and Adolescents: Report on the Second Australian Child and Adolescent Survey of Mental Health and Wellbeing. Canberra: Australian Department of Health.
- Lee, S., Aos, S., and Miller, M. (2008). Evidence-Based Programs to Prevent Children from Entering and Remaining in the Child Welfare System: Benefits and Costs for Washington. Olympia: Washington State Institute for Public Policy.
- Lim, K., Jacobs, P., Ohinmaa, A., Schopflocher, D., and Dewa, C. (2008). A New Population-Based Measure of the Economic Burden of Mental Illness in Canada. *Chronic Diseases in Canada*, **28**, 92–98.
- MacMillan, H., Wathen, C., Barlow, J., Fergusson, D., Leventhal, J., and Taussig, H. (2009). Interventions to Prevent Child Maltreatment and Associated Impairment. *The Lancet*, **373**, 250–266.
- Marmot, M., Allen, J., Goldblatt, P., Boyce, T., McNeish, D., Grady, M., and Geddes, I. (2010). *Fair Society, Healthy Lives*. London: University College London.
- Marmot, M., and Allen, J. (2014). Social Determinants of Health Equity. *American Journal of Public Health*, **104**, S517–S519.
- Martin, A., Hartman, M., Benson, J., Catlin, A., and the National Health Expenditure Accounts Team (2016). National Health Spending in 2014: Faster Growth Driven by Coverage Expansion and Prescription Drug Spending. *Health Affairs*, **35**, 150–160.

- Mikton, C., and Butchart, A. (2009). Child Maltreatment Prevention: A Systematic Review of Reviews. Bulletin of the World Health Organization, 87, 353–361.
- Moreno, C. (2017). Prevention in Child and Adoelscent Psychiatry: Are We There Yet? (2017). *European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, **26**, 267–269.
- Ngui, E., Khasakhala, L., Ndetei, D., and Roberts, L. (2010). Mental Disorders, Health Inequalities and Ethics: A Global Perspective. *International Review of Psychiatry*, **22**, 235–244.
- Nores, M., Belfield, C., Barnett, W., and Schweinhart, L. (2005). Updating the Economic Impacts of the High/Scope Perry Preschool Program. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, **27**, 245–261.
- Norman, R. E., Byambaa, M., De, R., Butchart, A., Scott, J., Vos, T., and Tomlinson, M. (2012). The Long-Term Health Consequences of Child Physical Abuse, Emotional Abuse, and Neglect: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *PLoS Medicine*, 9, e1001349.
- Office for National Statistics (2015). *Expenditure on Healthcare in the UK: 2013.* London: Office for National Statistics.
- Olds, D. (2008). Preventing Child Maltreatment and Crime with Prenatal and Infancy Support of Parents: The Nurse-Family Partnership. *Journal of Scandinavian Studies in Criminology and Crime Prevention*, **9**, 2–24.
- Polanczyk, G., Salum, G., Sugaya, L., Caye, A., and Rohde, L. (2015). Annual Research Review: A Meta-Analysis of the Worldwide Prevalence of Mental Disorders in Children and Adolescents. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 56, 345–365.
- Power, C., Stansfeld, S., Matthews, S., Manor, O., and Hope, S. (2002). Childhood and Adulthood Risk Factors for Socio-economic Differentials in Psychological Distress: Evidence from the 1958 British Birth Cohort. *Social Science and Medicine*, 55, 1989–2004.
- Priest, N., Paradies, Y., Trenerry, B., Truong, M., Karlsen, S., and Kelly, Y. (2013). A Systematic Review of Studies Examining the Relationship Between Reported Racism and Health and Wellbeing for Children and Young People. Social Science and Medicine, 95, 115–127.
- Rani, F., Murray, M. L., Byrne, P. J., and Wong, I. C. K. (2008). Epidemiologic Features of Antipsychotic Prescribing to Children and Adolescents in Primary Care in the United Kingdom. *Pediatrics*, 121, 1002–1009.

- Reading, C. (2015). Structural Determinants of Aboriginal Peoples' Health. In Greenwood, M., de Leeuw, A., Lindsay, N., and Reading, C. (eds), *Determinants of Indigenous People's Health in Canada*. Toronto: Canadian Scholars' Press, pp. 3–15.
- Reading, R., Bissell, S., Goldhagen, J., Harwin, J., Masson, J., Moynihan, S., Parton, N., Pais, M., Thoburn, J., and Webb, E. (2009). Promotion of Children's Rights and Prevention of Child Maltreatment. *The Lancet*, **373**, 332–343.
- Reiss, F. (2013). Socioeconomic Inequalities and Mental Health Problems in Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review. *Social Science and Medicine*, **90**, 24–31.
- Ronsley, R., Scott, D., Warburton, W., Hamdi, R., Louie, D., Davidson, J., and Panagiotopoulos, C. (2013). A Population-Based Study of Antipsychotic Prescription Trends in Children and Adolescents in British Columbia, From 1996 to 2011. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 58, 361–369.
- Rutter, M. (2006). Implications of Resilience Concepts for Scientific Understanding. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, **1094**, 1–12.
- Rutter, M., Moffitt, T., and Caspi, A. (2006). Gene-Environment Interplay and Psychopathology: Multiple Varieties but Real Effects. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 47, 226–261.
- Shatkin, J., and Belfer, M. (2004). The Global Absence of Child and Adolescent Mental Health Policy. *Child* and Adolescent Mental Health, 9, 104–108.
- Shepherd, C., and Waddell, C. (2015). A Qualitative Study of Autism Policy in Canada: Seeking Consensus on Children's Services. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 45, 3550–3564.
- Truth and Reconciliation Commission of Canada (2015). *Honouring the Truth, Reconciling for the Future.* Winnipeg: Truth and Reconciliation Commission of Canada.
- Uher, R. (2014). Gene-Environment Interactions in Common Mental Disorders: An Update and Strategy for a Genome-Wide Search. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, **49**, 3–14.
- United Nations (1989). *Convention on the Rights of the Child*. Geneva: United Nations.
- Waddell, C., Lavis, J., Abelson, J., Lomas, J., Shepherd, C., Bird-Gayson, T., Giacomini, M., and Offord, D. (2005a). Research Use in Children's Mental Health Policy in Canada: Maintaining Vigilance Amid Ambiguity. *Social Science and Medicine*, 61, 1649–1657.

- Waddell, C., Lomas, J., Lavis, J., Abelson, J., Shepherd, C., and Bird-Gayson, T. (2005b). Joining the Conversation: Newspaper Journalists' Views on Working with Researchers. *Healthcare Policy*, 1, 124–140.
- Waddell, C., Hua, J., Garland, O., Peters, R., and McEwan, K. (2007a). Preventing Mental Disorders in Children: A Systematic Review to Inform Policy-Making in Canada. *Canadian Journal of Public Health*, **98**, 166–173.
- Waddell, C., Shepherd, C., Lavis, J., Lomas, J., Abelson, J., and Bird-Gayson, T. (2007b). Balancing Rigour and Relevance: Researchers' Contributions to Children's Mental Health Policy in Canada. *Evidence and Policy*, **3**, 181–195.
- Waddell, C., Shepherd, C., and McLauchlin, G. (2008). Creating Mentally Healthy Communities, Starting with Children. In Canadian Population Health Initiative (ed.), *Mentally Healthy Communities: A Collection of Papers*. Ottawa: Canadian Institute for Health Information, pp. 45–58.
- Waddell, C., Shepherd, C., Schwartz, C., and Barican, J. (2014). Child and Youth Mental Disorders: Prevalence and Evidence-Based Interventions. Vancouver: Children's Health Policy Centre, Simon Fraser University.
- Walker, E., McGee, R., and Druss, B. (2015). Mortality in Mental Disorders and Global Disease Burden Implications: A Systematic Review and

Meta-Analysis. Journal of the American Medical Association Psychiatry, **72**, 334–341.

- Weisz, J., Kuppens, S., Eckshtain, D., Ugueto, A., Hawley, K., and Jensen-Doss, A. (2013). Performance of Evidence-Based Youth Psychotherapies Compared with Usual Clinical Care: A Multilevel Meta-Analysis. *Journal of the American Medical Association Psychiatry*, **70**, 750–761.
- Whiteford, H., Degenhardt, L., Rehm, J., Baxter, A., Ferrari, A., Erskine, H., Charlson, F., Norman, R., Flaxman, A., Johns, N., Burstein, R., Murray, C., and Vos, T. (2013). Global Burden of Disease Attributable to Mental and Substance Use Disorders: Findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *The Lancet*, **382**, 1575–1586.
- Whitehead, A. (1978). *Process and Reality: An Essay in Cosmology, Corrected Edition.* In Griffin, D. and Sherburne, D. (eds). New York: Free Press.
- Wilkinson, R., and Pickett, K. (2010). *The Spirit Level: Why Greater Equality Makes Societies Stronger*. New York: Bloomsbury Press.
- World Health Organization (2005). *Promoting Mental Health*. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- World Health Organization (2015). *Mental Health Atlas 2014*. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- World Health Organization (2016). *International Classification of Diseases*, 10th Rev. Geneva: World Health Organization.