

CHILDREN'S MENTAL HEALTH RESEARCH

Quarterly

SPRING 2016

VOL. 10, NO. 2

Preventing anxiety for children

OVERVIEW

Keeping fears from
interfering

REVIEW

Preventing childhood
anxiety problems





**Children's
Health Policy
Centre**

About the Children's Health Policy Centre

We are an interdisciplinary research group in the Faculty of Health Sciences at Simon Fraser University. We focus on improving social and emotional well-being for all children, and on the public policies needed to reach these goals.

To learn more about our work, please see childhealthpolicy.ca.

About the Quarterly

We summarize the best available research evidence on a variety of children's mental health topics, using systematic review and synthesis methods adapted from the *Cochrane Collaboration* and *Evidence-Based Mental Health*. We aim to connect research and policy to improve children's mental health. The BC Ministry of Children and Family Development funds the *Quarterly*.

Quarterly Team

Scientific Writer

Christine Schwartz, PhD, RPsych

Scientific Editor

Charlotte Waddell, MSc, MD, CCFP, FRCPC

Research Manager

Jen Barican, BA, MPH

Senior Research Assistant

Caitlyn Andres, BSc, MPH

Production Editor

Daphne Gray-Grant, BA (Hon)

Copy Editor

Naomi Pauls, MPub



Overview 3

Keeping fears from interfering

Two Canadian surveys have shown that most children do not experience worries that interfere with their well-being. We review these surveys and identify factors that protect young people from developing problematic anxiety.



Review 6

Preventing childhood anxiety problems

For children with mental health concerns, anxiety disorders are the most common. Effective prevention efforts are critical, and our systematic review identified programs shown to be successful in preventing childhood anxiety disorders.

Implications for practice and policy 10

Methods 12

References 13

Links to Past Issues 15



NEXT ISSUE

Helping children with anxiety

Children with problematic anxiety sometimes struggle to find effective treatments. We identify what works, and what doesn't, when treating childhood anxiety.

How to Cite the Quarterly

We encourage you to share the *Quarterly* with others and we welcome its use as a reference (for example, in preparing educational materials for parents or community groups). Please cite this issue as follows:

Schwartz, C., Waddell, C., Barican, J., Andres, C., & Gray-Grant, D. (2016). Preventing anxiety for children. *Children's Mental Health Research Quarterly*, 10(2), 1–15. Vancouver, BC: Children's Health Policy Centre, Faculty of Health Sciences, Simon Fraser University.

Keeping fears from interfering

Having the occasional distressing worry is a normal part of growing up. In fact everyone, regardless of age, is biologically prepared to experience fear. This is because being alert and responsive to danger is protective — from infancy through adulthood. By understanding typical experiences with fears and worries, adults can help ensure that healthy development is on track for children.

Our knowledge about children's emotional well-being and ways to enhance it continues to grow. For example, researchers have learned how children's fear levels change as they mature and what factors can protect young people from developing problematic anxiety.

Most children don't have problematic anxiety

Much research assessing childhood anxiety has focused on determining the prevalence of anxiety disorders, by identifying those who meet diagnostic criteria. Recent prevalence studies suggest that approximately 3% of children meet this threshold at any given time.¹ (Please note that the cited report includes diagnoses that are no longer classified as anxiety disorders.)

Research also suggests that these rates have not increased over recent decades.¹⁻² (In our next issue, on treating childhood anxiety, we will examine some of the reasons why practitioners may nevertheless perceive that prevalence is on the rise.)

Yet while prevalence information is critical in identifying the need for clinical treatment services, it does not tell us how *most* children experience *typical* fears and worries. To address this, researchers have taken a different approach. This involves tracking young people, most who do not have anxiety disorders, to learn how anxiety levels change as children grow and develop.

Two recent studies stand out. In one survey of more than 10,000 Canadian children, parents rated their child's anxiety levels over a six-year period, beginning when children were between two and 11 years old.³ Each time, parents were asked how often their children were too fearful or anxious, overly worried, or nervous or tense. While anxiety diagnoses were not assessed, researchers nevertheless uncovered four general patterns in children:



When children are provided with stable environments that foster social competence, they can learn to thrive with peers and adults — and may also be protected from problematic anxiety.

- consistent extremely low anxiety levels (6%)
- consistent low anxiety levels (46%)
- initial high anxiety levels that decreased over time (12%)
- initial high anxiety levels that increased over time (36%)³

Researchers found a similar pattern when they tracked nearly 1,900 Quebec children.⁴⁻⁵ In this study, parents provided information about their child's anxiety levels yearly from kindergarten through Grade 6. As in the previous study, parents were asked to report symptoms such as how much children feared new situations, worried a lot or cried readily.⁵ And also as with the previous study, anxiety diagnoses were not assessed. These researchers also uncovered four general patterns in children:

- initial low anxiety levels that decreased over time (10%)
- initial moderate anxiety levels that increased then declined (39%)
- initial high anxiety levels that remained relatively high despite some declines (41%)
- consistent high anxiety levels that slightly declined over time (10%)⁵

Both studies confirmed that based on parent ratings, most children had low anxiety levels that remained stable, or they had anxiety that decreased over time.

What keeps kids' fears in check?

Researchers have also found a number of factors that appear to protect children from developing problematic anxiety — across a range of developmental periods.

A study that tracked New Zealand children from age three to 15 uncovered the importance of social competence. More specifically, social confidence at age five — which included behaviours such as friendliness and eagerness to explore in new situations — predicted the absence of problematic anxiety in both late childhood and mid-adolescence, but only for boys.⁶

An additional protective variable was found in a different New Zealand study that followed school-aged children until adulthood, assessing a variety of influences. Young people who had a positive relationship with their parents at age 15, including feeling accepted and respected by their parents, were less likely to develop an anxiety disorder when they were between ages 16 and 30.⁷ In fact, teens with the strongest relationships with their parents had anxiety disorder rates that were less than half of those with the weakest relationships.⁷

Another study, of Western European children and teens, confirmed the importance of parents *and* peers in preventing problematic anxiety. In this study, young people who felt more connected to their parents and more cared for by friends were less likely to experience an increase in social anxiety over the ensuing three years.⁸

Teens with the strongest relationships with their parents had anxiety disorder rates that were less than half of those with the weakest relationships.

Finally, a meta-analysis of 47 cross-sectional studies, which included data on nearly 13,000 young people from varying countries, further suggested the importance of parenting in protecting children from problematic anxiety.⁹ Two specific parenting variables were highly correlated with better outcomes for children: giving children autonomy and providing high levels of warmth.⁹ Examples of giving autonomy included encouraging children's opinions and choices, acknowledging their independent perspectives, and soliciting their input on decisions and problem-solving. Examples of providing warmth included expressing positive regard for children, engaging in pleasant interactions with them, and being involved in their activities.⁹

Nurturing environments, nurturing relationships

On balance, the current studies suggest that when children are provided with stable environments that foster social competence, they can learn to thrive with peers and adults — and may also be protected from problematic anxiety. And by building close connections, promoting children's autonomy and providing high levels of warmth, parents and caregivers can also greatly promote children's emotional health.

While all children benefit from nurturing environments and nurturing relationships, some young people may still be at risk of developing problematic anxiety, and so may benefit from prevention programs. In the [Review](#) article that follows, we identify programs shown to be successful in preventing childhood anxiety disorders. 🖐️

*By building close connections,
promoting children's
autonomy and providing
high levels of warmth,
parents and caregivers can
greatly promote children's
emotional health.*

Preventing childhood anxiety problems

Even though most children do not experience problematic anxiety, anxiety disorders are still the most common mental health concern that young people experience.¹ Because of the frequency of these disorders and the considerable distress they cause, prevention efforts are greatly needed. We therefore conducted a systematic review to identify the latest research on effective prevention programs to help inform practitioners, policy-makers and others concerned with childhood anxiety.

We examined randomized controlled trials (RCTs) evaluating prevention programs published within the past 10 years. We included programs that either took a universal approach or concentrated on children at risk. To ensure a prevention focus, we excluded studies where the majority of children met diagnostic criteria for an anxiety disorder. To determine the benefits for children, we included only those studies that assessed relevant child anxiety outcomes using more than one informant (children, parents and/or researchers). For more information, please see our [Methods](#).

We accepted five RCTs evaluating four interventions: *Aussie Optimism Program — Positive Thinking Skills* (one RCT), *Coping and Promoting Strength* (two RCTs), *Dutch Anxiety Prevention* (one RCT), and *Feelings Club* (one RCT).^{10–16} All four interventions used cognitive-behavioural therapy (CBT) techniques. These included:

- education about anxiety, including the link between anxiety-related thoughts, feelings and behaviours^{10, 12–14, 16}
- relaxation exercises^{10, 12–14}
- cognitive restructuring techniques, including teaching children to identify unhelpful, unrealistic worries and then challenge them with more accurate thinking^{10, 12–14, 16}
- coaching children to identify anxiety-provoking situations and overcome them by facing them^{10, 13–14}



Two of the three targeted programs prevented children from developing an anxiety disorder.

Where did *FRIENDS* go?

Some readers may wonder why the *FRIENDS* program did not turn up in our current systematic review, especially given that we featured it in an earlier *Quarterly*. There were two reasons. First, our present review focused on evaluations published in the past 10 years, so older *FRIENDS* studies were excluded. Second, although some evaluations of *FRIENDS* were published more recently, none met our current [acceptance criteria](#). But *FRIENDS*, which uses cognitive-behavioural techniques, is backed by substantial high-quality research evidence. Consequently, *FRIENDS* is still an excellent choice for anxiety prevention.

Different programs for different levels of risk

Among the four interventions, only *Aussie Optimism* was universal, delivered to all students attending randomly selected elementary schools in socio-economically challenged communities.¹⁰ The remaining three programs focused on children at risk — based on either parental anxiety disorders or child anxiety symptoms. In both evaluations of *Coping and Promoting Strength*, one parent had an anxiety disorder.^{12–13} For *Dutch Anxiety Prevention*, all children had moderate to high anxiety symptoms.¹⁴ Meanwhile, for *Feelings Club*, all children had anxiety or depressive symptoms, but without meeting diagnostic criteria for either disorder.¹⁶

Including parents when children are at risk

Parents played an important role in all three targeted programs. In both trials of *Coping and Promoting Strength*, parents participated in all sessions, including two without their children.^{12–13} In *Feelings Club*, parents received three educational sessions.¹⁶ And the *Dutch Anxiety Prevention* RCT compared two program versions — one parent-only and one child-only.¹⁴ In the parent-only version, mothers and fathers were trained as lay therapists so they could teach their child CBT techniques, while also addressing their own anxieties and their parenting strategies.¹⁴ In the child-only version, a trained practitioner taught children the CBT techniques. The universal *Aussie Optimism* was the only intervention that did not involve parents.¹⁰ Table 1 describes the four programs and their RCT evaluations.

No children who participated in Coping and Promoting Strength I met criteria for an anxiety disorder over the course of the seven-month follow-up, compared to 30% of controls.

Table 1: Cognitive-Behavioural Prevention Program + Evaluation Characteristics			
Program	Components	Country (Sample size)	Children's ages
Universal			
<i>Aussie Optimism</i> ¹⁰	10 group child sessions delivered by teachers over 2¼ months	Australia (910)	9–10 years
Targeted			
<i>Coping and Promoting Strength I</i> ¹²	9–11 family sessions* delivered by practitioners over 2 to 2½ months	US (40)	7–12 years
<i>Coping and Promoting Strength II</i> ¹³	11 family sessions* delivered by practitioners over 2½ months	US (136)	6–13 years
<i>Dutch Anxiety Prevention</i> ¹⁴	<i>Child-only</i> : 8 group sessions delivered by practitioners over 2 months OR <i>Parent-only</i> : 3 group sessions + 5 brief telephone sessions delivered by practitioners over 2 months	Netherlands (183)	8–13 years
<i>Feelings Club</i> ¹⁶	12 group child sessions + 3 group parent sessions delivered by practitioners over 3 months	Canada (148)	8–12 years
* The first two sessions included parents only; all subsequent sessions included all interested family members.			

What was measured?

All RCTs measured a variety of child outcomes at follow-up periods ranging from six to 30 months. As well, three RCTs measured outcomes at more than one follow-up period. Given our purpose, we focused on *child anxiety outcomes* at the final assessment point(s) that met our criteria for each study. Notably, all studies assessed anxiety disorder *diagnoses*, which is a higher standard for intervention trials, compared with simply assessing symptoms.

We also identified if there were any statistically significant differences between intervention and comparison children on relevant outcomes for each study. Plus, we reported where possible the degree to which any statistically significant gains were clinically meaningful. Specifically, we identified “effect sizes” — whether benefits for children were classified as small, medium or large — for those studies that calculated them.

Anxiety prevention program outcomes

Aussie Optimism, the only universal program, made no significant difference in children’s anxiety symptoms or diagnoses — relative to the control condition — at any of the three follow-up assessments, which ranged from six to 30 months.^{10–11}

In contrast, two of the three targeted programs prevented children from developing an anxiety disorder. With *Coping and Promoting Strength I*, intervention children had significantly fewer anxiety diagnoses than comparison children at seven-month follow-up.¹² In fact, *no* children who participated in *Coping and Promoting Strength I* met criteria for an anxiety disorder over the course of the seven-month follow-up, compared to 30% of controls.¹² The effect size for this diagnostic outcome was very large.¹²

Similar positive outcomes were found for *Coping and Promoting Strength II*. Intervention children had significantly fewer anxiety diagnoses *and* less severe anxiety symptoms than controls at 12-month follow-up.¹³ Over the 12-month follow-up, only 5.3% of intervention children developed an anxiety disorder, compared to 30.7% of controls.¹³ This means that program children had over eight times lower odds of being diagnosed with an anxiety disorder. *Coping and Promoting Strength II* also had a moderate effect on the severity of anxiety symptoms.¹³

Both the parent-only and child-only versions of *Dutch Anxiety Prevention* also produced important gains. At 21-month follow-up, children in both versions of the program had significantly lower scores on a measure assessing both the presence and severity of anxiety disorders compared to control

Dutch Anxiety
Prevention improved
children’s outcomes
without adding
significant costs for
families or society.

children.¹⁴ Additionally, there were no significant differences in outcomes when the intervention was delivered to children via practitioners or via parents (who received training by a practitioners), suggesting the two methods were equally effective.¹⁴

In contrast, the third targeted program, *Feelings Club*, had no impact on children's anxiety disorder diagnoses or symptoms relative to the comparison group.¹⁶ Rather, all children experienced significant reductions in anxiety symptoms over time.¹⁶ Table 2 provides additional details on the outcomes for the four programs we reviewed.

Table 2: Child Anxiety Outcomes for Cognitive-Behavioural Prevention Programs			
Program	Follow-up	Positive child outcomes*	No significant difference
Universal			
<i>Aussie Optimism</i> ^{10–11}	30 months	None	Anxiety symptoms
	6 to 18 months	None	Anxiety diagnoses Anxiety symptoms
Targeted			
<i>Coping and Promoting Strength I</i> ¹²	7 months	↓ Anxiety diagnoses	Anxiety symptoms
<i>Coping and Promoting Strength II</i> ¹³	12 months	↓ Anxiety diagnoses ↓ Anxiety symptom severity	None
<i>Dutch Anxiety Prevention</i> ¹⁴	21 months	Child-only version ↓ Anxiety diagnoses + their severity	Anxiety symptoms
		Parent-only version ↓ Anxiety diagnoses + their severity	Anxiety symptoms
<i>Feelings Club</i> ¹⁶	12 months	None	Anxiety diagnoses Anxiety symptoms

* All listed outcomes were statistically significant compared with controls.

Is prevention cost-effective?

For the *Dutch Anxiety Prevention* program, researchers also assessed cost-effectiveness at 21-month follow-up.¹⁵ For this analysis, they evaluated costs and clinical outcomes for the child-only, parent-only and control groups. Costs included direct program expenses; other health care costs, such as psychologist services and medications; and indirect expenses, such as school absences and parental work absences due to children's anxiety. They determined that both the child-only and parent-only versions (which were similar in terms of their cost-effectiveness) were more cost-effective than the control condition. Overall, these findings suggest that *Dutch Anxiety Prevention* improved children's outcomes without adding significant costs for families or society.

How well do childhood anxiety prevention programs work?

This review found that two prevention programs — *Coping and Promoting Strength* and *Dutch Anxiety Prevention* (both versions) — were highly successful. Each focused on at-risk children and significantly reduced anxiety disorder diagnoses. Each was also relatively brief, delivered over approximately two months. Notably, findings for *Coping and Promoting Strength* were also replicated (by the same research team). As well, researchers demonstrated cost-effectiveness for *Dutch Anxiety Prevention*. These findings add to the well-established body of evidence showing the effectiveness of CBT techniques in preventing childhood anxiety disorders.¹⁷

Yet *Aussie Optimism* and *Feelings Club* also used CBT techniques delivered over similar time periods — without significantly improving children’s anxiety relative to the comparison conditions. The outcomes for *Aussie Optimism* may have been due to its universal delivery, to all children attending randomly selected schools. Universal programs have been recognized as being less likely to produce positive outcomes compared with targeted ones, because universal programs are inevitably delivered to many children who face little to no risk.¹⁸

The fact that *Feelings Club* did not improve anxiety outcomes any more than the comparison condition was also likely related to children’s risk. Although this program did focus on children at risk, it was not limited to anxiety. Rather, children were required to have *either* anxiety *or* depressive symptoms at the outset. So some may have had little or no anxiety — limiting the program’s anxiety-related benefits. As well, for this RCT, comparison children participated in an intensive, structured and supervised after-school activity group, which may have had therapeutic effects. For example, children performed in front of peers during activities such as charades, which may have reduced social anxiety.¹⁶ Finally, *Feelings Club* was the only targeted program that did not expose children to feared situations, a crucial CBT activity in addressing anxiety.¹⁹

Implications for practice and policy

The current review identified two effective programs — *Coping and Promoting Strength* and *Dutch Anxiety Prevention*. As well, four themes emerged, adding to our knowledge about preventing anxiety for children.

- ***CBT is still the best approach for preventing childhood anxiety.***

This review of the most recent research evidence confirms that CBT-based programs are highly effective in preventing childhood anxiety. This finding is in keeping with [our previous review](#), which similarly found strong support for CBT-based programs, with the *FRIENDS* program in particular standing out.¹⁷ The two new successful programs identified here also used

This review of the most recent research evidence confirms that CBT-based programs are highly effective in preventing childhood anxiety.

CBT approaches. So the evidence continues to build that CBT is an effective approach for preventing childhood anxiety.

- **Practitioners can deliver programs in relatively brief formats.** *Coping and Promoting Strength* and *Dutch Anxiety Prevention* were both delivered by practitioners in just nine to 11 family sessions or eight group sessions over two months.
- **Preventing anxiety can be cost-effective.** For example, the cost of delivering *Dutch Anxiety Prevention* was equivalent to the cost of providing no intervention. This occurred because the program was able to reduce some avoidable expenses, such as medication and emergency room visits — with the important added benefit that anxiety was significantly reduced early in the lifespan for children in the program.
- **CBT training is likely to yield wide-ranging payoffs.** There is a role for practitioners in offering programs such as the ones described here. But CBT's utility is not limited to anxiety prevention. It is also an effective approach for preventing depression, as well as treating anxiety, depression, substance use and conduct disorders.²⁰ Unlike many other interventions, CBT is also not trademarked, so training can be provided at a relatively reasonable cost. CBT training for practitioners is therefore a wise investment — for child and youth mental health service organizations and for the children and families they serve.

We know how to prevent childhood anxiety — the most common group of mental disorders that Canadian children face.¹ BC has made significant strides in achieving this goal. In particular, the CBT-based *FRIENDS* program has been implemented and maintained in BC schools.²¹ The two new programs identified in this review add to the choices that could be made available for children and families.

In BC and beyond, the aim is to ensure that all children in need can access evidence-based anxiety prevention programs. Over time, expanded prevention efforts will also ensure that more young people are reached — before anxiety disorders develop, and well before these disorders become needlessly entrenched. 🙌

In BC and beyond, the aim is to ensure that all children in need can access evidence-based anxiety prevention programs.

For more information on our research methods, please contact

Caitlyn Andres
chpc_quarterly@sfu.ca
 Children's Health Policy Centre
 Faculty of Health Sciences
 Simon Fraser University
 Room 2435, 515 West Hastings St.
 Vancouver, BC V6B 5K3

We conducted a comprehensive search to identify high-quality research evidence on the effectiveness of programs aimed at preventing anxiety in children. We used methods adapted from the *Cochrane Collaboration* and *Evidence-Based Mental Health* and applied the search strategy outlined in Table 3.

Table 3: Search Strategy	
Sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CINAHL, Cochrane, ERIC, Medline and PsycINFO
Search Terms	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anxiety, anxiety disorder, agoraphobia, generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, phobic disorder, social phobia, specific phobia, separation anxiety disorder or social anxiety disorder <i>and</i> prevention or intervention
Limits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Peer-reviewed articles published in English between 2005 and 2015 that were either original randomized controlled trials (RCTs) or follow-up RCTs • Children aged 18 years or younger • Systematic review, meta-analysis or RCT methods used

We then hand-searched reference lists of systematic reviews, previous *Quarterly* issues, and the two recent Children's Health Policy Centre research reports to identify additional RCTs. Using these approaches, we identified 57 potentially relevant RCTs. Two team members then independently assessed each RCT, applying the inclusion criteria outlined in Table 4, which were designed to limit our review to include only the highest-quality studies.

Table 4: Inclusion Criteria for RCTs
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participants were randomly assigned to intervention and comparison groups at study outset • Clear descriptions were provided of participant characteristics, settings and interventions • Interventions were evaluated in high-income countries (according to World Bank standards), for comparability with Canadian populations and practice and policy settings • Interventions aimed to prevent childhood anxiety symptoms or disorders • At study outset, most study participants did not have anxiety disorder diagnoses and had not been referred for treatment for anxiety problems • Follow-up was three months or more (from the end of the intervention) • Attrition rates were below 20% at follow-up and/or intention-to-treat analysis was used • Child outcome indicators included symptoms and/or diagnoses of anxiety disorders • Anxiety symptoms were assessed at follow-up using two or more informant sources (e.g., child, parent, teacher, researcher) • Reliability and validity of all primary outcome measures or instruments was documented • Levels of statistical significance were reported for primary outcome measures

Five RCTs met all the inclusion criteria. Data from these RCTs were then extracted, summarized and verified by two or more team members. Throughout our process, any differences among team members were resolved by consensus. 🖐️

BC government staff can access original articles from [BC's Health and Human Services Library](#).

1. Waddell, C., Shepherd, C., Schwartz, C., & Barican, J. (2014). *Child and youth mental disorders: Prevalence and evidence-based interventions*. Vancouver, BC: Children's Health Policy Centre, Faculty of Health Sciences, Simon Fraser University.
2. Waddell, C., Offord, D. R., Shepherd, C. A., Hua, J. M., & McEwan, K. (2002). Child psychiatric epidemiology and Canadian public policy-making: The state of the science and the art of the possible. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry, 47*, 825–832.
3. Nantel-Vivier, A., Pihl, R. O., Côté, S., & Tremblay, R. E. (2014). Developmental association of prosocial behaviour with aggression, anxiety and depression from infancy to preadolescence. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines, 55*, 1135–1144.
4. Côté, S., Tremblay, R. E., Nagin, D., Zoccolillo, M., & Vitaro, F. (2002). The development of impulsivity, fearfulness, and helpfulness during childhood: Patterns of consistency and change in the trajectories of boys and girls. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines, 43*, 609–618.
5. Duchesne, S., Vitaro, F., Larose, S., & Tremblay, R. E. (2008). Trajectories of anxiety during elementary-school years and the prediction of high school noncompletion. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 37*, 1134–1146.
6. Caspi, A., Henry, B., McGee, R. O., Moffitt, T. E., & Silva, P. A. (1995). Temperamental origins of child and adolescent behavior problems: From age three to age fifteen. *Child Development, 66*, 55–68.
7. Jakobsen, I. S., Horwood, L. J., & Fergusson, D. M. (2012). Childhood anxiety/withdrawal, adolescent parent-child attachment and later risk of depression and anxiety disorder. *Journal of Child and Family Studies, 21*, 303–310.
8. Van Zalk, N., & Van Zalk, M. (2015). The importance of perceived care and connectedness with friends and parents for adolescent social anxiety. *Journal of Personality, 83*, 346–360.
9. McLeod, B. D., Wood, J. J., & Weisz, J. R. (2007). Examining the association between parenting and childhood anxiety: A meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review, 27*, 155–172.
10. Rooney, R., Hassan, S., Kane, R., Roberts, C. M., & Nesa, M. (2013). Reducing depression in 9–10 year old children in low SES schools: A longitudinal universal randomized controlled trial. *Behaviour Research and Therapy, 51*, 845–854.

11. Rooney, R. M., Morrison, D., Hassan, S., Kane, R., Roberts, C., & Mancini, V. (2013). Prevention of internalizing disorders in 9–10 year old children: Efficacy of the Aussie Optimism Positive Thinking Skills Program at 30-month follow-up. *Frontiers in Psychology, 4*, 1–10.
12. Ginsburg, G. S. (2009). The Child Anxiety Prevention Study: Intervention model and primary outcomes. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 77*, 580–587.
13. Ginsburg, G. S., Drake, K. L., Tein, J. Y., Teetsel, R., & Riddle, M. A. (2015). Preventing onset of anxiety disorders in offspring of anxious parents: A randomized controlled trial of a family-based intervention. *American Journal of Psychiatry, 172*, 1207–1214.
14. Simon, E., Bogels, S. M., & Voncken, J. M. (2011). Efficacy of child-focused and parent-focused interventions in a child anxiety prevention study. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology, 40*, 204–219.
15. Simon, E., Dirksen, C., Bogels, S., & Bodden, D. (2012). Cost-effectiveness of child-focused and parent-focused interventions in a child anxiety prevention program. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders, 26*, 287–296.
16. Manassis, K., Wilansky-Traynor, P., Farzan, N., Kleiman, V., Parker, K., & Sanford, M. (2010). The Feelings Club: Randomized controlled evaluation of school-based CBT for anxious or depressive symptoms. *Depression and Anxiety, 27*, 945–952.
17. Schwartz, C., Waddell, C., Barican, J., Garland, O., Gray-Grant, D., & Nightingale, L. (2012). Preventing problematic anxiety. *Children's Mental Health Research Quarterly, 6*(1), 1–12. Vancouver, BC: Children's Health Policy Centre, Faculty of Health Sciences, Simon Fraser University.
18. Offord, D. R., Kraemer, H. C., Kazdin, A. E., Jensen, P. S., & Harrington, R. (1998). Lowering the burden of suffering from child psychiatric disorder: Trade-offs among clinical, targeted, and universal interventions. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 37*, 686–694.
19. Velting, O. N., Setzer, N. J., & Albano, A. M. (2004). Update on and advances in assessment and cognitive-behavioral treatment of anxiety disorders in children and adolescents. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice, 35*, 42–54.
20. Waddell, C., Schwartz, C., Barican, J., Andres, C., & Gray-Grant, D. (2015). *Improving children's mental health: Six highly effective psychosocial interventions*. Vancouver, BC: Children's Health Policy Centre, Faculty of Health Sciences, Simon Fraser University.
21. British Columbia. Ministry of Children and Family Development. (2015). *BC FRIENDS for life*. Retrieved December 20, 2015, from http://www.mcf.gov.bc.ca/mental_health/friends.htm

2016 / Volume 10

- 1 - [Helping children with behaviour problems](#)

2015 / Volume 9

- 4 - [Promoting positive behaviour in children](#)
- 3 - [Intervening for young people with eating disorders](#)
- 2 - [Promoting healthy eating and preventing eating disorders in children](#)
- 1 - [Parenting without physical punishment](#)

2014 / Volume 8

- 4 - [Enhancing mental health in schools](#)
- 3 - [Kinship foster care](#)
- 2 - [Treating childhood obsessive-compulsive disorder](#)
- 1 - [Addressing parental substance misuse](#)

2013 / Volume 7

- 4 - [Troubling trends in prescribing for children](#)
- 3 - [Addressing acute mental health crises](#)
- 2 - [Re-examining attention problems in children](#)
- 1 - [Promoting healthy dating](#)

2012 / Volume 6

- 4 - [Intervening after intimate partner violence](#)
- 3 - [How can foster care help vulnerable children?](#)
- 2 - [Treating anxiety disorders](#)
- 1 - [Preventing problematic anxiety](#)

2011 / Volume 5

- 4 - [Early child development and mental health](#)
- 3 - [Helping children overcome trauma](#)
- 2 - [Preventing prenatal alcohol exposure](#)
- 1 - [Nurse-Family Partnership and children's mental health](#)

2010 / Volume 4

- 4 - [Addressing parental depression](#)
- 3 - [Treating substance abuse in children and youth](#)
- 2 - [Preventing substance abuse in children and youth](#)
- 1 - [The mental health implications of childhood obesity](#)

2009 / Volume 3

- 4 - [Preventing suicide in children and youth](#)
- 3 - [Understanding and treating psychosis in young people](#)
- 2 - [Preventing and treating child maltreatment](#)
- 1 - [The economics of children's mental health](#)

2008 / Volume 2

- 4 - [Addressing bullying behaviour in children](#)
- 3 - [Diagnosing and treating childhood bipolar disorder](#)
- 2 - [Preventing and treating childhood depression](#)
- 1 - [Building children's resilience](#)

2007 / Volume 1

- 4 - [Addressing attention problems in children](#)
- 3 - [Children's emotional wellbeing](#)
- 2 - [Children's behavioural wellbeing](#)
- 1 - [Prevention of mental disorders](#)